

Applying norm theory to child sex exploitation and abuse



Addressing child sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children

Increasing focus on prevention

Resistant to change

Recognition of need to work differently – ineffectiveness of much of the work aimed at individual attitudes



Global: WHO statistics - 1 and 5 women and 1 in 10 men

Tanzania CDC/UNICEF VAC Survey 2009

Girls: 30% Boys 13%

Perpetrators: Dating partners 25-50%; Neighbors 32-16%; Strangers 32-26%; Authority Figures 15-7%: Relatives 7-14%

Sexual Assault 21-8%

Perpetrators: Dating Partners 42%; Known 42%; Stranger 21%; 9%

Data Challenges

OAK FOUNDATION Definitional Challenges

Sexual Abuse
Sexual Exploitation
Prostitution
Pornography
Transactional Sex
Trafficking
Paedophilia
Street Grooming
Sexual Violence

Social norms associated with each?

OAK Foundation

Age – perceptions of childhood

- •Legal
- Biological / Developmental
- Social

Differences within childhood

Gender



Negative Consequences

Individual

Developmental Economic Social - stigma Compounded risk (survivor and perpetrator)

Societal

Economic Intergenerational risks



Norms that sustain sexual abuse/violence

Competing Norms (community harmony/family reputation trumping protecting children)

Gender norms and the socialisation of boys and girls. Impact risks of perpetration and victimisation

Impact of sexualisation of children – perceptions that children are "to blame".

Double/Triple Whammy (Gender, Age and Power)

Relevant reference groups. Different views. Dominant views. Influence of peers.